VOLUME 18 NO 3 PP 246-249 MARCH 2013

Editorial

Can we afford to overlook hand hygiene again?

Katie Greenland, Sandy Cairncross, Oliver Cumming and Valerie Curtis

Environmental Health Group, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

keywords handwashing with soap, under-five mortality, diarrhoea

Access to safe and reliable drinking water and sanitation, Millennium Development Goal 7, target 7c, is essential for health and well-being (Esrey et al. 1991; Fewtrell et al. 2005; Clasen et al. 2007) and is recognised as a human right (United Nations General Assembly, Resolution 64/292). Hygiene delivers many of the health benefits associated with water and sanitation and yet is missing from the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). In 2013, the United Nations General Assembly will convene to agree post-2015 goals and targets for sustainable development. Health should not be sidelined in this process (Singh et al. 2012). An updated estimate of the number of lives that could be saved by the practice of good hand hygiene adds weight to the case for this basic life-saving intervention to be included as a measurable indicator of health in the forthcoming goals.

Handwashing with soap (HWWS) is one of the most cost-effective of all public health interventions (Jamieson et al. 2006). Alongside sanitation, HWWS after defecation acts as a primary barrier to faecal-oral spread of diarrhoea by preventing faecal matter from entering the environment, while HWWS before eating reduces transmission from faecal pathogens in the environment (Curtis et al. 2000). Although respiratory pathogens are primarily transmitted via the airborne route, bacteria and viruses shed from the nose, mouth or anus can also be spread via hands and fomites (Goldmann 2000) and campaigns to prevent influenza have tapped into this (United Kingdom Department of Health 2011). The simple action of washing hands with soap can interrupt the transmission of both diarrhoea and pneumonia, the two biggest causes of deaths of children under five (Liu et al. 2012). The latest estimates attribute 0.751 million (uncertainty range 0.538 - 1.031 million) deaths among children aged 1-59 months a year to diarrhoea and 1.071 million (uncertainty range 0.977 – 1.176 million) to pneumonia globally (Liu et al. 2012). In 2003, we estimated the annual number of deaths due to diarrhoea preventable by

HWWS at about a million (Curtis & Cairncross 2003). In the light of the revised estimates for child mortality, it is necessary to update these estimates and, in the light of more recent meta-analysis, extend them to include respiratory infections.

There have been a number of reviews of the impact of handwashing with soap on diarrhoea and respiratory infections. For diarrhoea, Curtis and Cairncross concluded that the universal practice of HWWS could reduce the risk of diarrhoea in the community by 47% (pooled estimate, evidence from intervention studies only) or 48% (pooled estimate, reduction in severe outcomes) (Curtis & Cairncross 2003). These estimates were followed by further reviews with a range of different inclusion criteria (Fewtrell et al. 2005; Aiello et al. 2008; Ejemot et al. 2012). Considering all available evidence, the most recent review by Cairncross et al. (2010) took 48% (the reduction in risk of severe outcomes) as the figure for inclusion in the global LiST model (Cairncross et al. 2010). We therefore also used 48% (95% confidence interval [CI] 24-63%) when calculating the number of deaths due to diarrhoea that could be prevented by HWWS.

Reviews have also consistently suggested that HWWS can reduce the risk of respiratory infections. Two reviews concluded that HWWS could reduce the risk of lower respiratory tract infections such as pneumonia by 21% (95% CI 5–34%) (Aiello *et al.* 2008) and 16% (95% CI 11–21%)(Rabie & Curtis 2006). An update with findings from two subsequent studies (Luby *et al.* 2005; Sandora *et al.* 2005) concluded that HWWS could reduce the risk of lower respiratory tract infections such as pneumonia by 23% (Ensink 2004) (95% CI 11–33%). We opted to use the latest, updated estimate in our calculations. We assume that the number of lives saved is proportional to the reduction in disease risk, as in other studies (Curtis & Cairncross 2003; O'Brien *et al.* 2009; Watt *et al.* 2009). Table 1 shows that 607 000 deaths from

K. Greenland et al. Editorial

Preventable cause of death	Type of Estimate	Calculations	Estimated number of lives saved
Diarrhoea	Mean	$0.751 \text{ million} \times 0.48$	360 000
	Low	$0.751 \text{ million} \times 0.24$	180 000
	High	$0.751 \text{ million} \times 0.63$	473 000
Pneumonia	Mean	1.071 million \times 0.23	246 000
	Low	1.071 million \times 0.11	118 000
	High	1.071 million \times 0.33	353 000
Total	Mean	0.360 million + 0.246 million	607 000

Table I Lives saved by handwashing with soap, children 1-59 months

All calculations were made using the mean number of deaths among children aged 1-59 months as estimated by Liu *et al.* (2012). Assumptions: The number of lives saved is proportional to reduction in risk.

Diarrhoea: Risk reductions in systematic reviews are similar. Calculations use the pooled estimate of 48% reduction in severe diarrhoea from (Cairncross *et al.* 2010). High and low estimates are calculated using the upper and lower confidence limits reported for this estimate.

Pneumonia: Risk reductions in systematic reviews are similar. Calculations use the pooled estimate of 23% reduction from the Ensink update of Rabie and Curtis (Ensink 2004; Rabie & Curtis 2006) and confidence intervals for this estimate calculated by the authors.

diarrhoea and pneumonia among children aged 1–59 months could be prevented annually by handwashing with soap.

These estimates for the potential lives that could be saved through scaling up HWWS exclude a number of other important mortality and morbidity outcomes for which there is suggestive evidence of protection. Around 33% of the 3.1 million deaths occurring annually among neonates are due to infectious causes (Liu et al. 2012), and clean birth and post-natal practices – of which handwashing with soap is a component - have been estimated to reduce death due to sepsis and tetanus by as much as 40% (Blencowe et al. 2011). Although birth attendant and maternal handwashing could save additional lives, low-quality evidence dissuades us from hypothesising the extent of this impact here. Hand hygiene could further reduce mortality from hospitalacquired infections and maternal sepsis (Seale et al. 2009; World Health Organisation 2009). In addition to saving lives, a plethora of other health benefits has been attributed to handwashing with soap, including reductions in healthcare-associated infections (World Health Organisation 2009), puerperal sepsis (Luby et al. 2001), skin infections (Luby et al. 2005), eye infections (Montessori et al. 1998), including trachoma (the latter health improvements come from face washing combined with antibiotic usage) (Ejere et al. 2012), and co-infections and disease progression among people living with HIV/AIDS (Isaac et al. 2008; Filteau 2009). Other benefits include more school attendance as a result of fewer episodes of illness (Bowen et al. 2007; Freeman et al. 2011; Talaat et al. 2011) and possible improvements in child growth and development as a result of improved

nutritional status (hypothesised to be mediated via reduction in diarrhoea and environmental enteropathy) (Prüss-Üstün *et al.* 2008; Bowen *et al.* 2012). These benefits are described more fully elsewhere (Biran *et al.* 2012).

Global estimates of this nature carry much uncertainty and are limited by the assumptions made, in particular the use of the risk reduction estimates reported in systematic reviews. Studies included in these reviews were conducted in a variety of income settings and even those studies considered to be of reasonable quality have methodological flaws and differed in when and where handwashing was promoted. Furthermore, the extent to which good hand hygiene can reduce the burden of disease is likely to be mediated by factors such as water and sanitation coverage, prevalence of undernutrition, access to health services, predominant transmission routes and pathogen prevalence across settings. The likelihood that a life will be saved as a result of HWWS is greatest in the poorest, most vulnerable and underserved populations such that the average effects suggested in the meta-analyses may, if anything, underestimate the potential impact in these populations. Estimates such as these are useful in assessing the potential contribution of HWWS to child survival strategies and provide a basis for policy dialogue and advocacy.

HWWS with soap has long been identified as a costeffective intervention to be scaled up as part of child survival strategies (Black *et al.* 2003), and new and innovative programmes are demonstrating that hand hygiene behaviour can be improved cost-effectively (Curtis *et al.* 2011). The absence of a globally binding target that situates HWWS within broader health and development

K. Greenland et al. Editorial

strategies has allowed attention to stray from this potentially powerful intervention. As 2015 and the expiry of the current MDGs draws close, expert groups have begun to formulate a potential hygiene goal (Meeting Report of JMP post-2015 Global Monitoring Working Group on Hygiene 2012) by demonstrating both its utility and measurability. In the mid-nineteenth century, Hungarian Ignaz Semmelweis postulated that doctors' hands spread disease. His handwashing intervention immediately reduced mortality from puerperal fever on affected hospital wards, yet he faced much professional resistance and ridicule for his unsubstantiated ideas (Curtis 2004). The sad story of Ignac Semmelweiss teaches us that sometimes the most obvious solutions are most easily overlooked. In 2013, the global health community must reflect on whether it can afford to overlook such an obvious and cost-effective solution as hygiene again.

References

- Aiello AE, Coulborn RM, Perez V & Larson EL (2008) Effect of hand hygiene on infectious disease risk in the community setting: a meta-analysis. *American Journal of Public Health* 98, 1372–1381.
- Biran A, Curtis V & Gautam OP et al. (2012) Background Paper on Measuring WASH and Food Hygiene Practices – Definition of Goals to be Tackled Post 2015 by the Joint Monitoring Programme. Available at: http://www.hygienecentral.org.uk/ pdf/LSHTM-background-paper-measuring-wash-food-hygienepractices.pdf.
- Black RE, Morris SS & Bryce J (2003) Where and why are 10 million children dying every year? *Lancet* **361**, 2226–2234.
- Blencowe H, Cousens S & Mullany LC *et al.* (2011) Clean birth and postnatal care practices to reduce neonatal deaths from sepsis and tetanus: a systematic review and Delphi estimation of mortality effect. *BMC Public Health* **11**(Suppl 3), S11.
- Bowen A, Ma H & Ou J et al. (2007) A cluster-randomized controlled trial evaluating the effect of a handwashing-promotion program in Chinese primary schools. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 76, 1166–1173.
- Bowen A, Agboatwalla M, Luby S, Tobery T, Ayers T & Hoekstra RM (2012) Association between intensive handwashing promotion and child development in Karachi, Pakistan. A cluster randomized controlled trialintensive handwashing promotion in Pakistan. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine 166, 1037–1044.
- Cairncross S, Hunt C & Boisson S et al. (2010) Water, sanitation and hygiene for the prevention of diarrhoea. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 39(Suppl 1), i193–i205.
- Clasen T, Schmidt WP, Rabie T, Roberts I & Cairncross S (2007) Interventions to improve water quality for preventing diarrhoea: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* **334**, 782.
- Curtis V (2004) Media watch: the doctors' plague: germs, childbed fever, and the strange story of ignác semmelweis. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* **4**, 383.

- Curtis V & Cairncross S (2003) Effect of washing hands with soap on diarrhoea risk in the community: a systematic review. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 3, 275–281.
- Curtis V, Cairncross S & Yonli R (2000) Domestic hygiene and diarrhoea - pinpointing the problem. *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 5, 22–32.
- Curtis V, Schmidt W, Luby S, Florez R, Touré O & Biran A (2011) Hygiene: new hopes, new horizons. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 11, 312–321.
- Ejemot RI, Ehiri JE, Meremikwu MM & Critchley JA (2012) Hand washing for preventing diarrhoea. *Cochrane Database Systematic Review* (2), CD004265.
- Ejere HOD, Alhassan MB & Rabiu M (2012) Face washing promotion for preventing active trachoma. *Cochrane Database Systematic Review* (4), CD003659.
- Ensink J (2004) Health impact of handwashing with soap. Well Factsheet. Available at: http://www.lboro.ac.uk/well/resources/ fact-sheets/fact-sheets-htm/Handwashing.htm (Accessed October 2012).
- Esrey SA, Potash JB, Roberts L & Shiff C (1991) Effects of improved water supply and sanitation on ascariasis, diarrhoea, dracunculiasis, hookworm infection, schistosomiasis, and trachoma. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 69, 609–621.
- Fewtrell L, Kaufmann RB, Kay D, Enanoria W, Haller L & Colford JM Jr (2005) Water, sanitation, and hygiene interventions to reduce diarrhoea in less developed countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 5, 42–52.
- Filteau S (2009) The HIV-exposed, uninfected African child. Tropical Medicine & International Health 14, 276–287.
- Freeman MC, Greene LE & Dreibelbis R et al. (2011) Assessing the impact of a school-based water treatment, hygiene and sanitation programme on pupil absence in Nyanza Province, Kenya: a cluster-randomized trial. Tropical Medicine & International Health 17, 380–391.
- Goldmann DA (2000) Transmission of viral respiratory infections in the home. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal* **19**, S97–S102.
- Isaac R, Alex RG & Knox TA (2008) Malabsorption in wasting HIV disease: diagnostic and management issues in resourcepoor settings. *Tropical Doctor* 38, 133–134.
- Jamieson D, Bremen J, Measham A, Alleyne G & Claeson M (2006) Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Liu L, Johnson HL & Cousens S *et al.* (2012) Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality: an updated systematic analysis for 2010 with time trends since 2000. *Lancet* **379**, 2151–2161.
- Luby SP, Agboatwalla M & Raza A *et al.* (2001) Microbiologic effectiveness of hand washing with soap in an urban squatter settlement, Karachi, Pakistan. *Epidemiology and Infection* 127, 237–244.
- Luby SP, Agboatwalla M & Feikin DR *et al.* (2005) Effect of handwashing on child health: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* **366**, 225–233.
- Meeting Report of JMP post-2015 Global Monitoring Working Group on Hygiene (2012) Available at: http://www.wssinfo. org/fileadmin/user_upload/resources/Meeting-Report-of-the JMP-Post-2015-Hygiene-Working-Group-May-2012.pdf (Accessed October 2012.)

K. Greenland et al. Editorial

- Montessori V, Scharf S, Holland S, Werker DH, Roberts FJ & Bryce E (1998) Epidemic keratoconjunctivitis outbreak at a tertiary referral eye care clinic. *American Journal of Infection* Control 26, 399–405.
- O'Brien KL, Wolfson LJ & Watt JP et al. (2009) Burden of disease caused by *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in children younger than 5 years: global estimates. *Lancet* **374**, 893–902.
- Prüss-Üstün A, Bos R, Gore F & Bartram J (2008) Safer water, better health: costs, benefits and sustainability of interventions to protect and promote health. World Health Organisation, Geneva. Available at: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/ 2008/9789241596435_eng.pdf (Accessed March 2012).
- Rabie T & Curtis V (2006) Handwashing and risk of respiratory infections: a quantitative systematic review. *Tropical Medicine* & *International Health* **11**, 258–267.
- Sandora TJ, Taveras EM & Shih MC et al. (2005) A randomized, controlled trial of a multifaceted intervention including alcoholbased hand sanitizer and hand-hygiene education to reduce illness transmission in the home. *Pediatrics* 116, 587–594.
- Seale AC, Mwaniki M, Newton CR & Berkley JA (2009) Maternal and early onset neonatal bacterial sepsis: burden and strategies for prevention in sub-Saharan Africa. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 9, 428–438.

- Singh S, Kalmus-Eliasz M & Yore D *et al.* (2012) Health at the Rio+20 negotiations. *Lancet* 380, e5–e6.
- Talaat M, Afifi S & Dueger E *et al.* (2011) Effects of hand hygiene campaigns on incidence of laboratory-confirmed influenza and absenteeism in schoolchildren, Cairo, Egypt. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 17, 619–625.
- United Kingdom Department of Health (2011) Catch it, Bin it, Kill it - Respiratory and hand hygiene campaign . Available at: http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/ PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_123234 (Accessed December 2012).
- United Nations General Assembly, Resolution 64/292. The human right to water and sanitation. Available at: http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/64/292 (Accessed October 2012).
- Watt JP, Wolfson LJ & O'Brien KL et al. (2009) Burden of disease caused by Haemophilus influenzae type b in children younger than 5 years: global estimates. Lancet 374, 903–911.
- World Health Organisation (2009) WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care: a Summary. First Global Patient Safety Challenge. Clean Care is Safer Care. Available at: http://www.chp.gov.hk/files/pdf/who_ier_psp_200907_eng.pdf (Accessed April 2012).

Corresponding Author Katie Greenland, Environmental Health Group, Department of Disease Control, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, WC1E 7HT, London, UK. Tel.: +44 20 7636 8636; Fax: +44 20 7436 5389; E-mail: Katie. greenland@lshtm.ac.uk